

3.3 The Hodge theory of Soergel bimodules

Goal: statement of the results and sketch of the overall strategy.

For any $x \in W$ we choose an embedding $B_x \xrightarrow{\oplus} BS(\underline{x})$. This equips B_x with an invariant form $\langle - , - \rangle_{B_x}$. If Soergel's conjecture holds (i.e. $S(x)$) then $\langle - , - \rangle$ is unique up to a scalar, easily seen to be non-zero, and hence is non-degenerate. (cf Ben's lecture).

Now B_x is free of finite rank as an R right R -module and $\mathbb{D}B_x = B_x$.

Hence $\overline{B_x} := B_x \otimes_R R$ is finite dimensional, $\langle - , - \rangle_{\overline{B_x}}$ non-degenerate form.

For any $f \in R^2 = \mathfrak{g}^*$, multiplication by f yields a Lefschetz operator $\overline{B_x} \rightarrow \overline{B_x}(2)$.

Assumption: realization satisfies $\langle \omega(s), \kappa_s^\vee \rangle > 0 \iff sw > w$. True for geometric representation.
 $\exists s \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ s.t.

HL+HR Thm: For $i \geq 0$, left multiplication by s yields an isomorphism

$$s : (\overline{B_x})^{-i} \rightarrow (\overline{B_x})^i.$$

Moreover, if we normalize $\langle - , - \rangle_{\overline{B_x}}$ s.t. $\langle s^{\ell(x)} c_{\text{bot}}, c_{\text{bot}} \rangle > 0$ then the Hodge-Niemann bilinear relations are satisfied.

Strategy of proof: Fix $x \in W$, $s \in S$ with $sw > x$.

Assume "everything" for all $y < xs$. (what "everything" is will become clear.)

Write: $\underline{H}_x \underline{H}_s = \sum f_z \underline{H}_z \implies \underline{H}_x \underline{H}_s = \underline{H}_{xs} + \sum_{z < x} f_z(0) \underline{H}_z$.

$$S(xs) \iff B_x B_s \cong B_{xs} \oplus \bigoplus_{z < x} B_z^{\oplus f_z(0)} \quad (\text{all in degree } 0).$$

Hence we want to show that B_z occurs with multiplicity $f_z(0)$ in $B_x B_s$.

↑ Exercises

$$\text{rank of } (-, -)_y^{x,s} : \text{Hom}(B_z, B_x B_s) \times \text{Hom}(B_{zs}, B_z) \rightarrow \text{End}(B_z) = \mathbb{R}$$

$\downarrow S(z)$
 Soergel's Hom formula +
 asymptotic orthogonality
 $\Rightarrow f_z(0) = \dim \text{Hom}^0(B_z, B_x B_s)$.

Want to show non-degeneracy.

Exercise: By induction for any inclusion $B_x \overset{\oplus}{\subset} BS(\underline{x})$ the induced form on B_x is non-degenerate (and unique up to a scalar).

The induced form $\langle - , - \rangle_{B_x B_s}$ on $B_x B_s \overset{\oplus}{\subset} BS(\underline{x}) B_s = BS(\underline{x}s)$ is non-degenerate.

Hence B_x and $B_x B_s$ have non-degenerate forms $\langle - , - \rangle_{B_x}$, $\langle - , - \rangle_{B_x B_s}$.

Given $\varphi: B_x \rightarrow B_x B_s$, $\varphi^*: B_x B_s \rightarrow B_x$ adjoint, i.e.

$$\langle \varphi(b), b' \rangle_{B_x B_s} = \langle b, \varphi^*(b') \rangle_{B_x}.$$

Gives identification $\text{Hom}(B_x, B_x B_s) = \text{Hom}(B_x B_s, B_x)$.

$\langle - , - \rangle_z^{x,s}$ form on $\text{Hom}(B_x, B_x B_s)$ "local intersection form".

Embedding Theorem: The map $\varphi \mapsto \overline{\varphi(c_{\text{bot}})}$ defines an embedding

$$\text{Hom}^\circ(B_x, B_x B_s) \xhookrightarrow{i} P_s^{-l(z)} \subset (\overline{B_x B_s})^{-l(z)}.$$

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_x B_s & \overset{\oplus}{\subset} & BS(\underline{x}s) \\ \uparrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \\ B_x & \overset{\oplus}{\subset} & BS(\underline{x}) \end{array}$$

Assume $\varphi(c_{\text{bot}}) = 0$. Then

Ben's lecture:

$$\varphi = \sum \text{Diagram} y g_y \quad y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{But } S(\underline{x}) \Rightarrow \text{Diagram} y = 0 \text{ if } \deg \text{Diagram} \leq 0.$$

$$\text{and } H_x H_{\underline{x}s} = \sum f_z(0) H_z \Rightarrow \text{Diagram} y = 0 \text{ if } \deg \text{Diagram} < 0.$$

$\Rightarrow \varphi(c_{\text{bot}}) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi = 0$.

Similarly, $\varphi(c_{\text{bot}}) \in B_x B_s R^+ \Rightarrow \varphi = 0$.

Hence i is injective.

$$\begin{matrix} & & 0 \\ & & \times \\ \text{Now } \overline{B}_z^{-l(z)} & \cdot & \star \\ & \vdots & \\ & \cdot & \star \\ & -l(z) & \star \end{matrix}$$

$$g^{l(z)+1} c_{\text{bot}} \in B_x R^+.$$

$$\text{Hence } g^{l(z)+1} \varphi(c_{\text{bot}}) = \text{Diagram} y.$$

||

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(g^{l(z)+1} c_{\text{bot}}) \in B_x B_s R^+.$$

$$\Rightarrow g^{l(z)+1} c_{\text{bot}} = 0.$$

$$\text{Hence } \varphi(c_{\text{bot}}) \subset P_g^{-l(z)} \subset (\overline{B_x B_s})^{-l(z)}.$$

Exercise: $\langle g^{\ell(z)} c_{\text{bot}}, c_{\text{bot}} \rangle = N > 0$ "degree of Schubert variety"

Given $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Hom}(B_{\frac{x}{z}}, B_{xs})$ we have $\langle c_{\text{bot}}, c_{\text{top}} \rangle_{B_z} = 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, \beta)_{\frac{x}{z}}^{x,s} &= \langle \beta^* \circ \alpha(c_{\text{bot}}), c_{\text{top}} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \langle \beta^* \circ \alpha(c_{\text{bot}}), g^{\ell(z)} c_{\text{bot}} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \langle \alpha(c_{\text{bot}}), g^{\ell(z)} \beta(c_{\text{bot}}) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} (\alpha i(\alpha), i(\beta))^{-\ell(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence i is an isometry up to a scalar. \square

Because the restriction of a pos. def. form to a subspace stays definite we have

(HR) for $\overline{B_x B_s} \implies$ Soergel's conjecture for B_{xs} !

Remember dCM: use some limiting argument.

Proposition: Let $B \subset \overset{\oplus}{BS}(\underline{w})$ be s.t. \overline{B} satisfies (HR) wrt g .

Consider the Lefschetz operator on $B_x B_s \subset BS(\underline{ws})$ given as follows

$$L_j := g \mid \{ \cdots \overset{w}{|} \cdots \overset{s}{|} \cdots \mid \}_{jS} \quad \text{for } j \geq 0.$$

Then $\overline{BB_s} \subset \overline{BS(\underline{ws})}$ satisfies (HR) for $j \gg 0$.

Proof: Explicit calculation. In the limit Lefschetz form on

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{B_x B_s} &\rightsquigarrow \overline{B_x} \otimes \overset{\vee}{V} \\ &\quad \uparrow \\ &\quad H^*(P)(1) \text{ aka natural} \\ &\quad \text{rep of } Sp_2(R). \end{aligned}$$

See exercises!
and our paper

\square